Innovations Academy Board Meeting: June 23 , 2017 @ 4:30 pm

Meeting location(s)

Innovations Academy 10380 Spring Canyon Road San Diego, CA 92131	5519 McMillan Street Oakland, CA 94618	Public call in number 641-715-0861* Access code 151642

*Members of the public need not state their names when entering the conference call. Call-in number is provided as a convenience to the public.

Board Attendance

Stephen Rosen	Faraz Sharafi	Andrea Thiltgen	Danielle Strachman (skype)		

Others in Attendance

Tony Spitzberg	Delano Jones	Christine Kuglen				

Agenda

Topic	Minutes
➢ Call to order / roll call	4:35 pm
➢ Approval of current agenda	Vote: 1. Faraz motions to move 2. Dre Seconds Approved Unanimously by: - Danielle Strachman -Steven Rosen -Faraz Safari -Dre Thiltgen
➢ Approval of prior month meeting minutes	Vote: There are no motions to change the previous minutes 1. Dre motions to approve 2. Faraz seconds the motion Approved Unanimously by: - Danielle Strachman -Steven Rosen -Faraz Safari -Dre Thiltgen
➢ Public comments (3 mins per person)	No one on the public line
➢ Board applicant introductions	None.
➢ Reports	
o Teacher briefing (Dre)	Discussion: End of the was busy with trips and final testing. Graduation was moving and students spoke.
o Financial update (Delano)	Delano reviewed Charter Vision July 2016-May 2017. Budget is looking good and goals can be adjusted as needed.
o Director update (Christine) ✓ Full time & Part time enrollment ✓ School relocation	Item – Director's Update Discussion: End of the year successful, there will be a large transition of teachers, but many have been hired as well. Discussed movement of teachers and TAs. Spoke about next year's academic focus.

	Still no site due to the competitive market. Community is not pleased with the proposed build of apartments.
➢ Action items	
o Auditor Selection o LCAP review and approval o 2017-18 Budget Review and Approval o 2017-18 EPA Spending Estimates o 2017-18 Consolidated Application o 2017-18 CSMC Contract	<u>Item- Auditor Selection</u> Discussion: Reviewed three potential Auditors for upcoming year. Highlighted pros and cons of each, reviewed websites and communication so far with each. Vote: 1. Faraz makes a motion to approve going forward with Wilkinson Hadley 2. Dre seconds motion. Approved Unanimously by: - Danielle Strachman -Steven Rosen -Faraz Safari -Dre Thiltgen <u>Item- LCAP review and approval</u> Discussion- Christine explains five new pillars of LCAP and documentation process purchase. Vote: 1. Danielle motions to approve 2. Dre seconds motion Approved Unanimously by: - Danielle Strachman -Steven Rosen -Faraz Safari -Dre Thiltgen <u>Item- 2017-18 Budget Review and Approval</u> Discussion: Community stakeholders have input with LCAP. State changes in effect to budget. Discussed enrollment, revenue, and expenses. Vote: 1. Dre motions to approve 2. Steve seconds motion Approved Unanimously by: - Danielle Strachman -Steven Rosen -Faraz Safari -Dre Thiltgen <u>Item- 2017-18 EPA Spending Estimates</u> Discussion: Document is public. Actual amount will comeback in August 2017. Vote: 1. Dre motions to approve 2. Faraz seconds motion Approved Unanimously by: - Danielle Strachman -Steven Rosen -Faraz Safari -Dre Thiltgen

	Item- 2017-18 Consolidated Application Discussion: ConApp puts money aside for support services and approval accepts responsibilities of NCLB. Approval happens 2 times each year. Discussed need of funding based on demographics of students. Vote: 1. Faraz motions to approve 2. Dre seconds motion Approved Unanimously by: - Danielle Strachman -Steven Rosen -Faraz Safari -Dre Thiltgen Item- 2017-18 CSMC Contract Discussion: Contract provides language for understanding the process. Supports the LCAP process; services and fees are transparent. Vote: 1. Steve Rosen motions to move 2. Dre seconds Approved Unanimously by: - Danielle Strachman -Steven Rosen -Faraz Safari -Dre Thiltgen
➢ Discussion items	
o IA Board recruitment (All) o Potential new board members o New policy review (permanent discussion item)	o Item – IA Board recruitment (All) Discussion: Christine has reached out to Intern program, discussion of encouraging others to recruit, Faraz has spoke with a few parents. o Potential new board members Discussion: None.
o Tasks for next meeting	1.Review and set new financial health report targets 2. 2017-18 CSMC Contract (LCAP only)
➢ Next board meeting	September 7th, 2017 @6:30pm
o Confirm date of next meeting	September 7th, 2017 @6:30pm
o Identify agenda items for next meeting	See above.
Meeting adjourned	6:59pm, 6/23/17

The foregoing minutes were approved by the Board of Directors of Innovations Academy on _____.

_____

Secretary

Please contact Innovations Academy Board @ Board@InnovationsAcademy.org if you require special assistance or a listening device to attend the Board Meeting. Requests must be made 48 hours in advance.

1. TELECONFERENCE MEETINGS. Members of the Board of Directors may participate in teleconference meetings so long as all of the following requirements in the Brown Act are complied with:

    - At a minimum, a quorum of the members of the Board of Directors shall participate in the teleconference meeting from locations within the boundaries of the State of California;

    - All votes taken during a teleconference meeting shall be by roll call;

    - If the Board of Directors elects to use teleconferencing, it shall post agendas at all teleconference locations with each teleconference location being identified in the notice and agenda of the meeting;

    - All locations where a member of the Board of Directors constituting the quorum participates in a meeting via teleconference must be fully accessible to members of the public and shall be listed on the agenda;

    - Members of the public must be able to hear what is said during the meeting and shall be provided with an opportunity to address the Board of Directors directly at each teleconference location; and

    - The agenda shall indicate that members of the public attending a meeting conducted via teleconference need not give their name when entering the conference call.

    - The Brown Act prohibits requiring members of the public, to provide their names as a condition of attendance at the meeting; however, those wishing to speak may be required to identify themselves.